UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/623,156	07/21/2003	Joseph Pohutsky	20-520	2708
	7590 10/05/200 NISON & SELTER PL	EXAMINER		
7th Floor	NT 137	SHEDRICK, CHARLES TERRELL		
2000 M Street, Washington, DO		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2617		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/05/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/623,156	POHUTSKY ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		

	CHARLES SHEDE	RICK	2617	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover s	heet with the d	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 09 September 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN	CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following r application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	the same day as fill replies: (1) an amer al (with appeal fee)	ing a Notice of <i>i</i> ndment, affidavi ) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 2 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (the MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f.)	ater than SIX MONTH: b). ONLY CHECK BO ).	S from the mailing X (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FILE	n. LED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the slaset forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corres hortened statutory per	ponding amount origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS	sion thereof (37 CF	FR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	out prior to the data	of filing a brief	will not be entered be	201100
<ul> <li>(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further con</li> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below</li> </ul>	nsideration and/or sew);	earch (see NO	ΓE below);	
<ul><li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or</li></ul>	er form for appear i	by materially rec	aucing of simplifying tr	ie issues ioi
(d) ☑ They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding numb	oer of finally reje	ected claims.	
NOTE: The amended limitations require further sea	arch and/or conside	eration . (See 37	7 CFR 1.116 and 41.3	3(a)).
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1. See attached No	otice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment ( <b>I</b>	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	·			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allow non-allowable claim(s).			•	-
<ol> <li>For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [     how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov     The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:     Claim(s) allowed:</li> </ol>			l be entered and an ex	planation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to over showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejectio and was not earlie	ns under appea r presented. Se	al and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a ).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	of the status of the	e claims after er	ntry is below or attache	∍d.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place th	ne application in	condition for allowand	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (label{eq:13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper	No(s)		
/Lester Kincaid/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617	/Charles Examiner,	Shedrick/ Art Unit 2617		